

Determinants

Introduction to the “Easy Problems”

We want conditions on the solution to the system of linear equations [A_{ij} (i th row, j th column), b_k (k th element) are known; x_k is unknown]

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}x_1 + \cdots + A_{1n}x_n &= b_1 \\ &\vdots \\ A_{n1}x_1 + \cdots + A_{nn}x_n &= b_n \end{aligned}$$

or more compactly,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$

The conditions on the solution to this “easy” problem are:

if $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ there is no solution or infinitely many solutions

if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ there is exactly one solution.

Historically, the “easy” problems were studied first and are very old.

Introduction to the “Hard Problems”

A second category of problems might be called the “spectral theory” of matrices and involves such things as eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and functions of a matrix i.e. if $f(x)$ is a polynomial in x with coefficients f_k , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha) &= f_0 + f_1\alpha + f_2\alpha^2 + \cdots + f_n\alpha^n, (\alpha \text{ scalar}) \\ f(\mathbf{A}) &= f_0 + f_1\mathbf{A} + f_2\mathbf{A}^2 + \cdots + f_n\mathbf{A}^n, (\mathbf{A} \in C^{m \times m}, \text{ matrix}) \end{aligned}$$

Example Some functions of a matrix which are of particular interest include $e^{\mathbf{A}t}$ and \mathbf{A}^t .

The theory of determinants leads to the Cayley-Hamilton theory which in turn leads to the Spectral Theory and Functional Calculus. The complete progression to the Spectral Theory is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Determinants} & \rightarrow & \text{Cramer's Rule} & \rightarrow & \text{Cayley-Hamilton Theorem} & \rightarrow & \text{Spectral Theory} \\ \text{(easy)} & & & & \text{(bridge)} & & \text{(hard)} \end{array}$$

Theorem (Cramer's Rule) Let \mathbf{C} be a matrix of cofactors with

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A_{ij}} \det(\mathbf{A})$$

Then

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{A})} \mathbf{C}^T.$$

Theorem (Cayley-Hamilton) Let $p(s) = \det(s\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})$ be the characteristic polynomial. Then $p(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{0}$.

The spectral theory was not studied until the 19th century. We say that a matrix \mathbf{A} is *normal* if $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$ where $*$ denotes conjugate transpose. We say that a matrix is *unitary* if $\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$. We are already familiar with a limited version of the spectral theory.

Theorem (Spectral Theorem for Normal Matrices) Every normal matrix \mathbf{A} is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix (whose diagonal elements must be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}). In other words, there exist a unitary matrix \mathbf{T} and diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} for which

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{T}^H.$$

where

$$\mathbf{T} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mid \mathbf{v}_2 \mid \cdots \mid \mathbf{v}_n],$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix},$$

and \mathbf{v}_k is an eigenvector with λ_k the associated eigenvalue.

Notation

C is the set of complex numbers

C^n is the set of complex vectors of size n

$C^{n \times n}$ is the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices

$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{(n)}] \in C^{n \times n}$ having columns $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} \in C^n$

What is det?

A great number of mathematical questions can be answered by computing determinants:

- Does there exist a solution to a system of linear equations?
- When does a polynomial have no roots in the right half plane or outside the unit circle? Answers the question of causality and stability of CT and DT LTI systems.
- When is a matrix positive definite, i.e. $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all non-zero vectors, \mathbf{x} ?
- Is a given pair of polynomials co-prime?
- How far is a vector from a subspace?
- What is the volume of a skewed parallelogram?

Towards a Definition of Determinant

Q: Does there exist a scalar function $\det : C^{n \times n} \mapsto C$ with the following properties?

- 1) \det is linear in each column, i.e.

$$\det[\cdots \mid a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} \mid \cdots] = a \det[\cdots \mid \mathbf{x} \mid \cdots] + b \det[\cdots \mid \mathbf{y} \mid \cdots]$$

- 2) if $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ (k th column of \mathbf{A} , j th column of \mathbf{A}) then $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$
- 3) $\det(\mathbf{I}) = 1$ where the *identity* matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

As we will see, these three properties completely and uniquely specify the determinant. Consider some consequences of these properties without really knowing whether “det” actually exists.

Example 4) If the matrix \mathbf{A} has a zero column, then the matrix has zero determinant:

$$\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \det(\mathbf{A}) = 0.$$

This follows from Property 1:

$$\det[\dots | a\mathbf{x} | \dots] = a \det[\dots | \mathbf{x} | \dots]$$

with $a = 0$.

Example 5) If a multiple of one column is added to another, the determinant is not changed. This follows from Properties 1 and 2. Operating on column j :

$$\begin{aligned} \det[\dots | \mathbf{A}^{(j)} + \alpha\mathbf{A}^{(k)} | \dots] &= \det[\dots | \mathbf{A}^{(j)} | \dots] + \alpha \det[\dots | \mathbf{A}^{(k)} | \dots] \\ &= \det(\mathbf{A}) + 0 \end{aligned}$$

We will show that if $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$, then \mathbf{A} has an inverse, namely \mathbf{A}^{-1} such that $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$. If this \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists then the solution to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

Primitive Matrices

A matrix \mathbf{T} is called *primitive* if it differs from the identity matrix in at most one position. Thus

$$T_{ij} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & i = u, j = v \\ \delta_{ij}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

\mathbf{T} is parameterized by the triple (u, v, α) .

Examples: $\mathbf{T}(u, v, \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \alpha & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{T}(u, v, \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \alpha & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{T}(2, 3, 1.7) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1.7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Exercise 1: Establish the following

$$\det(\mathbf{AT}) = \det(\mathbf{A}) \det(\mathbf{T})$$

if \mathbf{T} is primitive.